## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:	DCT			
Cohouge & Element	PCT			
Cohausz & Florack   Patent- und Rechtsanwälte (24)	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE			
Bleichstrass 14	INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY			
D-40211 Düss Singles f 2 8. OKT. 2005	EXAMINING AUTHORITY			
Tyskland	(PCT Rule 66)			
Fritzi bis 201205	(ICI Kille 00)			
Boarbeller Erlodigi Gesehan				
[50]	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 2 6 -10- 2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	REPLY DUE within 60 days from			
SC/ar <u>040242WO</u>	the above date of mailing			
International application No. International filing date	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/IB2004/003073 21-09-2004	24-09-2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classificat	ion and IPC			
G06F3/033, G06F3/14, G09G5/391				
Applicant				
Nokia Corporation et al				
[ 57				
1. The written opinion established by the International Searching Authority:				
is	is not			
considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority.				
2. This second (first, etc.) opinion contains indications relating to the following items:				
Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
Box No. Il Priority	· .			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a citations and explanations supporting s	(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; uch statement			
Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international app	lication			
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.				
When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(e).				
How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where For the form and the language of the amendments,	appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.			
Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis.  For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.				
For an additional opportunity to submit amendmen  If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination				
<ol> <li>The final date by which the international preliminary report on (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule</li> </ol>	patentability 69.2 is: 24-01-2006			
to Rule	21 12 2000			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE	Authorized officer			
Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055				
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM	Jan Silfverling /LR			
Facsimile No. 46 8 667 72 88	Telephone No. 46 8 782 25 00			

Facsimile No. 46 8 667 72 88
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/003073

Ro	x No. I	Danie of the culture
Dv.	( 140. ;	Basis of the opinion
1.	With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	$\bowtie$	the international application in the language in which it was filed
İ		a translation of the international application into which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ,
		international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4(a))
Ì		international preliminary examination (Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
2.	which	regard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (replacement sheets a have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as inally filed."):
	$\bowtie$	the international application as originally filed/furnished
		the description:
		pages as originally filed/furnished
		pages received by this Authority on
		pages received by this Authority on
	Ш	the claims:
	•	pages as originally filed/furnished
		pages as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages received by this Authority on received by this Authority on
İ	<u> </u>	the drawings:
		•
İ		pages as originally filed/furnished pages received by this Authority on
		pages received by this Authority on
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.	П	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	<b></b>	the description, pages
		the drawings, sheets/figs
		the sequence listing (specify):
	_	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4.		This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description, pages
		the claims, Nos.
		the drawings, sheets/figs
		the sequence listing (specify):
l		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
Ì		
I		
ı		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT/IB2004/003073

I. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-21
	Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	
	Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	
	Claims	

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 20020191031 A1

D2: EP 1253510 A

D3: EP 0949571 A2

D4: BJÖRK ET AL: "WEST: a Web Browser for Small Terminals" UIST. PROCEEDINGS OF THE ANNUAL ACM SYMPOSIUM ON USER INTERFACE SOFTWARE AND TECHNOLOGY, vol. 1, no. 1, 1999, pages 187-196.

D5: US 6593944 B1

Document D1 discloses a method for presenting at least a part of an object on a screen comprising at least partially dividing at least one object into a plurality of sub-objects, see [0028] and figure 3B, presenting said plurality of sub-objects in a first representation and in response to a user operation on said at least one active sub-object, presenting at least one of said at least one active sub-objects in a second representation and making at least one sub-object of said plurality of sub-objects an active sub-object, see [0020] and figure 2B.

Therefore, all features of claim 1 are known from D1.

The same reasoning applies for the other independent claims 17-19 and 21.

Documents D2-D5 is showing similar technique as D1. Therefore, the invention according to claims 1, 17-19 and 21 is also known from D2-D5.

The invention according to dependent claims 2-16 and 20 is also considered to be known from D1-D5.